

# TRUMP POLICY TOWARDS IRAN: CHALLENGES AND IMPLICATIONS

Nargis Zaman\*<sup>2</sup> Arif Khan\*<sup>1</sup>

## ABSTRACT:

A key foreign policy objective of President Trump during his election campaign was to reset, tighten and maximize his countering policies against Iran's destabilizing activities in the Gulf region. However, the challenges including the fate of Iran nuclear deal, ballistic missile program, support of terrorist's groups, US-Russia ties and Russian-Iran relations in Syria which the new administration faces to brought about against Iran, are much harder than his desired objectives. Trump's Middle East and Iran's policies presents an uncertain, complex and paradoxical scenario because the goals which he sets mark a clear deviation from his campaign promises against Iran. The global and regional actors such as Russia, Iran and Saudi Arabia will surely like to test the Trump's policies and determination towards the Gulf region so that to achieve their anticipated goals.

**Keywords:** US, Iran, Saudi Arabia, Nuclear Deal, Russia-Iran ties and US-Russian connections.

## Introduction

US foreign policy has always been shaped by both continuation and change. The new incoming candidates especially from different parties often adopted unparalleled, divergent and often opposite policies to their outgoing predecessors which resulted in disastrous implications both for domestic and international politics. An important issue related to the foreign policy for the newly elected Donald Trump administration will be its policy towards Iran as was the case with the previous administration of Obama. Prior to the election, Trump made his policy's intentions very clear about Iran but later after assuming the office of the president, he found these very difficult because the reality was far more difficult to achieve than his imagination. The Middle

---

<sup>1</sup>.**Dr.Arif Khan** is PhD in Political Science from University of Peshawar and acknowledges HEC-IRSIP Pakistan for the award of 6-months scholarship as Visiting Scholar to Royal Holloway University of London.

<sup>2</sup>. **Nargis zaman** Lecturer Women University Mardan

Eastern region has been dragged on into a second cold war strategic scenario where Iran has gotten the support of Russia's Putin to advance her hegemonic influence over the Gulf countries while at the same time the new Trump administration will also shape its policies with regard to Iran to prevent it from developing a nuclear weapon, its terrorist activities and support of terrorist groups and its meddling role in the region.

The policies made by President Obama towards the Middle East and more especially Iran, was seriously criticized by the new president-elect Donald Trump. During his election campaign, Trump severely criticized President Obama for doing business with Iran on the nuclear issue which resulted in the JCPOA. He labeled the nuclear agreement between US and Iran the worst deal ever negotiated in the history of United States. However these statements by the President Trump cannot withhold the nuclear agreement because it was not a unilateral agreement but rather a multilateral agreement including the other five countries i.e. Germany, Russia, China, France and Britain. Sticking their favor with the nuclear deal against the harsh stance of President Trump, these countries viewed that multilateral diplomacy is still possible bringing peaceful solutions to the global issues which instead great powers competition could not solve.

Trump policies towards Iran will adopt a more different stance on a wide range of issues from that of the Obama eight years administration. Trump and his administration presents ambiguity in their policies towards Iran and the major expected changes, he wants to make may face difficulties in implementation. First he used rhetoric against the landmark nuclear deal to dismantle it but later he peddled-back by saying that he would rather renegotiate the terms of the deal but it seems difficult because it will also invite frustration from the other members of the deal and also US allies and would damage the credibility to honor the international agreements. Other area of concern for Trump administration is Iran's ballistic missile program which has been developed with the passage of time. Iran was put back under the layers of sanctions by the new Trump administration when it recently conducted ballistic missile tests which was regarded a violation of the UN Security Council Resolution. In this point of time US new President elect has decided to wipe out terrorism and extremism and had declared it primary objectives of American foreign policy. For attaining this objective president Trump might refresh and revive affairs with Russia. In this connection a telephonic talk (28<sup>th</sup> January, 2016) has already made between the two presidents. They agreed to cooperate for bringing an end to the menace of

terrorism and extremism and also agreed to maintain contact at earliest convenience in future. As Russia has partnership with Iran in the fight against Daesh and it had also provided information from the field in Iraq and Syria. So, in case the US and Russia engaged in dialogue with ease, Russia would certainly lobby for Iran to be accepted as a regional power in the Middle East. <sup>1</sup>Although, there is an environment of mutual distrust between the two but US being the world super power and Iran being a major regional power would benefit a lot if engaged in positive relationship.

The war of words between the Trump and Iran has been escalated which has made the strategic scenario much complicated. President Trump's policies to counter Iran by dismantling or renegotiating the nuclear deal, conducting ballistic missile tests, Russia's support to intervene in Syria, IS and to restore American leadership in the region are the challenges with which the new Trump administration is faced. The most important question here is that what impelled the new Trump administration to revise the previous Obama administration's policies towards Iran when there had been occurred some improvements in their worst relations. Will these policies bring some tangible results for the US and what would be the impacts of these policies on Iran?

### **Causes To Replace Old Policies into New Policies**

The new American administration is well aware of the growing regional influence of Iran which the previous Obama government took easy to handle. In contrast to the previous US government, the Trump administration has enhanced its deterrence policy against the Islamic Republic to counter its meddling in the affairs of the region. Although Trump's decision to revise policies on Iran's nuclear agreement with United States and other five major countries will be a big challenge for him and his administration because the JCPOA (joint Comprehensive Plan of Action) was not a unilateral agreement but rather a multilateral agreement. To stop Iran from attaining a nuclear weapon, President Donald Trump will surely tight his policies against Iran. He made this stance on the grounds that, even if Iran honors the terms of the deal, it will easily attain the nuclear weapon because after few years later, when the limitations on the Iranian nuclear program are lifted, Iran will be able to easily develop a military nuclear weapons ( Kam, 2017). Trump proposed that strict monitoring is required on daily basis to check the status of the Iranian nuclear arsenals. The International Atomic energy Agency (IAEA) reports insists that no

other country has ever been closely monitored than Iran. It reported that Iran has stopped working on its uranium enriching program and is duly abiding the rules and conditions of the nuclear agreement (Maria, 2017).

Another factor which led the President Trump and his administration to replace the policies of his predecessors is the failure of the President Obama to stop the Iranian missile program. The missile program of Iran was not incorporated in the nuclear talks or in the final nuclear agreement due to the refusal of Iran which subsequently enabled them to carry out several flashy missile tests and managed to extend the range and accuracy of the missile target. Trump criticized that it will not only make it possible for Iran to hit small targets but also the Israel, other Gulf countries and even the United States in the future. He proposed that the existing sanctions on its missile program are not severe enough to force Iran to downsize its nuclear program (Kam, 2017)

The increased Iranian military capabilities have raged fear in US new establishment. Iran is going to conclude a large arms deal with Russia which worth more than \$10 billion instead of Security Council resolution forbidding Iran from arms supplies until 2020. The completion of this deal will help to strengthen and improve the overall quality and capabilities of Iranian air force against its rivals including the Arab world, Israel and the US (Fishel, 2016).

### **Trump and the fate of the Historic Nuclear Deal**

President Donald Trump has repeatedly promised to scrap the nuclear deal with Iran and regarded it as the worst deal ever negotiated in the history of United States. Prior to the election, he used his harsh rhetoric against the JCPOA and criticized President Obama for giving nuclear sanctions relief to the Islamic Republic. He also showed his commitment before audiences that he would rather renegotiate and refine the terms of the nuclear deal to make it much tougher on Iran (Meijer, 2017).

The repercussions of withdrawing or amending the deal will be very high both diplomatically and politically for President Trump because it will invite frustration from both allies and rivals and will undermine the integrity of the US to honour the international agreements they negotiated with other countries. Iran and Russia have common goals in Syria i.e to save the Bashar Asad government against the sunni backed rebel groups and to restore

Russian power and influence by diminishing US standing in Syria. It is said that to resolve Russian disagreements with US in Europe and Middle East, President Trump might offer concessions to Russia in Syria in return for Russian concessions in Ukraine and Europe. This bargaining by President Trump will obviously melt the ice with Iran on the nuclear deal and will result in greater regional influence of Iran in the Gulf countries. However it will also lead to frustration and tension between US and her allies like Saudi Arabia and Israel who are constantly pushing hard the US to renegotiate the terms of the deal so that to undermine the rising hegemonic influence of Iran in the region. Russian support of Iran to increase military and political intervention in Syria, Yemen, Iraq, terrorist acts, ballistic missiles program and the nuclear deal have created deep concerns in US allies (Eytan,2016) These developments have turned Middle Eastern strategic environment into a second world war scenario where the two super powers are confronted to each other for their strategic objectives.

Similarly President Trump's harsh stance to scuttle the deal may possibly complicate and undermine the alliances of the United States with other major economic powerhouses (such as Japan, India, South Korea) which are not the part of the agreement but they have a lot to get from JCPOA's continuation. It will also isolate US from Iran and these countries and might serve as a great policy concern for the US foreign policy and security establishment to stop crushing the nuclear deal (Wagner,2017).

Trump's antagonism against Iran's nuclear arrangement will also intensify the political atmosphere in Tehran by strengthening the influence of radical hardliners against the moderate reformer Hassan Rouhani who signed the JCPOA with US. President Hassan Rouhani to run his re-election campaign used the nuclear deal and improvement in relations with US as his biggest achievements which will serve Iran's economic interests. But the accelerating war of words between the US and Iran casted its long shadows on these achievements which may present opportunities to the hardliners to convince the undecided section of the Iranian population that the results connected with the deal are meager which might weaken his position in the election campaign. Even the Supreme Leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei criticized the Rouhani administration in November 2016 pointing to the renewal of sanctions on Iran by the US congress in the advent of conducting ballistic missiles tests. Trita Parsi, of the National Iranian-American Council said that the travel ban on the seven muslim countries including Iran could also work against

President Hassan Rouhani re-election bid (Fattahi, 2017). However these arguments proved weak and false when he was re-elected for a second term as the president of the Islamic Republic.

Although President Trump made tough stance against the Nuclear Deal to scrap it but it seems too difficult to take into account his imagined views with regard to the fate of JCPOA. However there might have been three possibilities for the Trump and his administration to adopt on the future of JCPOA with Iran. First, to keep the status quo unchanged it is possible that withouttaking concrete actions against the nuclear deal and the current US sanctions, he may uphold his stance of holding Iran responsible for altering or cancelling the deal. Second, to reimpose sanctions on Iran, the US may be able to assert that Iran has violated the terms of the JCPOA which would enable the US to “police” the contract very toughly. Third President Trump could bring back Iranto the negotiating table by threatening to reimpose the relaxed US sanction laws or the new ones and also roll-back the primary US sanctions on the table (Zenlick & Cripps, 2017)

Recently in July 2017, Trump and his administration certified to congress that Iran is technically complying with the nuclear deal and following in accordance the rules set by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) and said that Iran can enjoying nuclear sanctions relief. The law has given the administration the authority to check the status of Iranian nuclear program after every ninety days. Although it is a positive development with regard to the nuclear deal but instead President Trump and his administration is pushing hard to strictly enforce the deal by imposing additional restrictions and eliminating aspects of the deal which allows Iran to resume some nuclear production after 10 years (Bennett & Bierman, 2017 ). A day after the certification by congress, President Trump and his administration slaps new sanctions on 18 Iranian individuals, groups and networks for non-nuclear acts such as supporting its ballistic missile development. These sanctions target seven groups and five people that support Iran’s military or its Revolutionary Guard, a transnational criminal group plus also associated three people with it and two more groups associated with ballistic missile program and freeze any assets these targets may have in US and prevent doing business with them (Gehrke, 2017).

There are too many hidden hands working in the favor of JCPOA and also against its nullification for to happen. Trump has little to do with a more hard-line stance against Iran because Trump will more concerned as a foreign policy perspective to develop deep cooperation with the Kremlin to counter IS instead of Assad government and his allies in Syria, a strategy which will unofficially lead to more US-Iran alignment even if that is not Trump's intention(Wagner, 2017). President Trump made several statements to tear up the nuclear deal when he was running for his election bid but it seems unrealistic because still up to this time in office, he has not done anything to scrap the deal.

### **Trump's Alliance Politics with Saudi Arabia to Counter Iran**

Iran's utmost support and favor for the militant and terrorist groups in Syria, Yemen, Iraq benefits in numerous ways. It enables Iran to support the key allies like the regime of Bashar al-Asad in syria. It also gives Tehran leverage against regional rivals like the kingdom of Saudi Arabia and also strengthens pro-Iran voices in the region, increasing Iran's influence in some capitals and backward areas of several countries. Finally, stable countries are more sensitive to the threat of Iranian terrorism and to deter the threat, they must sought such policies which could enhance their defense power. President Donald Trump and his administration is pushing hard to counter Iran's malign activitiesand rising intervention in Gulf region on every front by enhancing the security, strength and military capabilities of the states such as Saudi Arabia, UAE, Egypt, Turkey and Israel. These countries had their reservations against the previous Obama administration for not effectively tackling down the threats they faced from the Iranian activities in the region.

For this purpose to enhance the security and deterrence power of her allies, President Trump signed an arms deal worth nearly \$110 billion with Saudi Arabia to counter Iran's malign activities and growing influence in the region(Cassidy, 2017). This arms deal include the flow of US ballistic missile systems to the Saudi arabia and the most vital thing is that this deal will also includes the renewed sale of precision-guided munitions that were previously blocked under the administration of Obama due to the fears that the Saudis might use them for the civilian targets in Yemen. The deal will also enable the Kingdom to purchase the Patriot and Terminal High Altitude Area defense (THAAD) anti-missile technologies that will thwart Iranian rocket (Watkins,2017)

Although this policy may help US to counter the malign activities of Iran domestically by increasing the military capabilities of her regional allies but the implications of this defense deal for the region will be drastic because it could also prompt an arms race among the other countries of the region. Recently relations between the state of Qatar and the Saudi Kingdom got worsened due to the later support of Iran's terrorist groups. Like Saudi Arabia, President Trump openly accused Qatar for sponsoring of terrorism. He said on 9 June in Rose Garden that "unfortunately the state of Qatar has been historically a funder of terrorism at a very high level" and we have to stop it. But this scenario changed just after five days of President Trump accusation of Qatar when Defense Secretary James Mattis finalized a \$12 billion arms deal with Qatar for 36 F-15 fighter jet (Ward, 2017)

These messages mixed with uncertainty and confusion have made the Gulf region more complex, complicated and sensitive because the proxy wars in the Middle East would last for years which might enhance the desire of these countries to pursue even more eagerly the sale of these weapons which could also become a jewel of future American arsenals and weapons because the profit, come from this business will give an increased boost to the US economy and will help President Trump to make America Great again because a large amount of American economy came from its advanced military technology. The ever rising Sunni-Shia ethnic and sectarian rivalries led by Saudi and Iran respectively coupled with these latest weaponry power could precipitated the region in devastating civil wars.

### **Sanctions Policy of Donald Trump against the Islamic Republic of Iran**

US sanctions and all the attempts to attain the multilateral and international imposition of sanctions on Iran have been considered in different ways a great policy tool in the component of US-Iran policy since Iran's 1979 Islamic Revolution of Iran that fall the Shah of Iran, the ally of US. In order to stop the acts of terrorism, militant activities and strategic power show in the Middle East, US sanctioned Iran in 1980s and 1990s. In the 2000s mid, international and US sanctions on Iran largely focused on ensuring that the Nuclear program of Iran is for purely civilian purposes. The International community from 2010-2015, cooperated intimately to pursued Iran to limits its nuclear program. Still, sanctions against Iran have numerous objectives and address many perceived threats from Iran at the same time.

The re imposition of US sanctions has begun to damage the economy of Iran as main companies started to exit the Iranian economy rather than risk being penalized by the United States. There has sharp decrease been observed in the Iran's oil exports and difficulties are also witnessed in paying Iran for the oil with hard currency are also evident. The value of the currency of Iran has also going downwards and economic unrest has sustained, although not to that extent the regime has threatened (Katzman, 2018).

The Administration of the Donald Trump in order to counter the "malign activities" of Iran has adopted a policy and its behavior, primarily based on reducing the financial resources of Iran. The Administration of the US president also argued that the Joint Comprehensive Plan Of Action failed to deal with regional activities of Iran, on the basis of these grounds, President Donald Trump decided to withdrew the position of the United States from the JCPOA on 8<sup>th</sup> May, 2018, and proclaimed that US would re imposed sanctions on Iran. (Katzman, 2018)

### **Implications of Trump's Policies on the region**

Trump did not formulate any coherent policies towards the Middle East generally and Iran particularly, rather they presented an imprecise and a complex posture which may result in internal contradictions for the repressive regimes because they are unstable and unable to check their internal repression which will lead to aggravate their internal challenges. Regarding Iran, the administration has been sending mixed signals, making it difficult to understand its intended policy. Trump's transactional approach towards alliance politics and mixed messages against Iran will weaken his ally's efforts to counter Iran's destabilizing activities across the region and thus he will not be able to fulfill the desired challenges, evasions and demands of his regional allies (Lynch, 2013)

Another contradictory policy which Trump's administration designed would threaten the global security environment through his extremist approach towards Islam. The proposed ban on Muslim immigration will rise the conflict only with the Islamic world which will lead to extreme hatred against the US and will also give birth to jihadist extremists. Trump's advisers have labeled the mainstream Islamist movement as a terrorist organization which regularly competes

in Arab elections. It will also undermine President Donald Trump strategy to form an ally's alliance to deter an Iranian influence in the region (Noriega, 2016)

---

Trump criticized Obama and Clinton for military intervention in Iraq, Libya and Yemen because it did not meet the proposed objectives and instead gave a heavy blow to its economy by spending billions of dollars. As a result of this policy change, Saudi war in Yemen seems unlikely to change U.S. policies towards the Middle Eastern region and will left Riyadh alone to fight its own local wars, no matter how meaningless and destructive. This policy will also invite a huge economic profit for US by selling vast quantities of arms to these countries to support their war effort (Lynch, 2017)

Another area of concern for President Trump will be the previous US policy of interventionism which trapped it completely in the Middle East and the way to escape seems difficult and to fulfill his election campaign policies, President Trump will likely attempt to follow the policies of Obama's administration to combat radical Islam and to control the meddling of Iran, its support of terrorist groups, its nuclear ambitions and ballistic missile program which is a key policy concern for US and her allies (Lynch, 2017).

Trump's desire to improve relations with Russia to defeat ISIS and to resolve the Syrian issue without destroying Iran and Assad's government in Syria will have direct impacts on his relations with Gulf States such as Saudi Arabia because it will further Iran's dominance in the region at the cost of these states. It is illogical that on one hand Trump signed an arm agreement with Saudi Arabia for the purpose to create a strong deterrence wall against Iran's destabilizing influence in the Gulf region and on other hand leave Iran with free hands to fight the war with Assad against the Sunni rebel groups just to please Russian Putin (Bendix, 2017 )

Trump's desire to please Russia by turning to a side from Middle Eastern politics will certainly weaken other regional powers efforts such as Saudi Arabia to contain Iran's influence and instead will lead to complexity and uncertainty because they will consider it simply as a granting victory to Iran and Assad at the cost of her allies (Beauchamp, 2017) . Also Trump's main concern to "make America great again" could reduce his personal involvement in Middle Eastern issues and instead will provide enough place for Russia and Iran to strengthen their influence in the region which may likely to leave President Assad in power still and instead the Sunni camp

led by Saudi Arabia to accept the coalition of US, Russia and Turkey to crush the roots of ISIS in the near future (Guzansky & Michael, 2017 )

---

## **Conclusion**

Trump's policies towards Middle East and Iran presents an incoherent and even more complex scenario which continue to puzzle experts and decision makers around the globe. Trump's harsh rhetoric against the nuclear deal to scrap it proved weak like the noise of an empty drum which simply results to make the strategic environment like a hot cake. President Donald Trump run his election campaign on various policy objectives. He constantly criticized Iran's destabilizing activities in the Middle East and showed his utmost desire to undermine and counter Iran's regional influence. During the early days of his Presidency, he showed a hard stance towards the Islamic Republic. Trump's extremist approach towards the Muslims around the globe and his immigration ban will certainly weaken U.S. efforts to build an alliance system against Iran in the Gulf region, among other costs. Similarly, this policy build up will make Iran more likely to work with anti-American local groups. Trump and his administration needs to be more careful in its rhetoric against allies and enemies because they both will consider him as unpredictable, unreliable and may simply avoid the signals which they do not like and will lead to misunderstandings and heavy costs for the United States.

Washington's decision to focus on ISIS but not Assad has given Russia and Iran a free hand to change the balance of power in Syria. It has created suspicions in US allies such as Saudi Arabia and Israel with raising questions about whether the United States cares about the power struggle in the region between the Gulf Arab states and Iran, in which Moscow has chosen to back Tehran's interests. Continued passivity from the Trump administration will be considered as acquiescence to Russia and Iran's regional plans to advance their influence at the cost of Arab Gulf States which will also expose the unjustified pretext and hypocritical nature of Trump towards her allies.

Trump and his administration needs to closely work with and support the opposition Sunni forces against the Asad government and his allies in Syria because it will develop trust building and cooperation between US and her Gulf allies which will strengthen their strategic

position in Syria against the opponents and will also help to defeat Islamic State. It will also provide America and her allies more possibilities to get an upper hand in a future Syria. Finally, the administration of the Donald trump must set a realistic policy approach for success against Iran because Iran's strategic position and its desire to shape the Middle Eastern politics in its own favor will certainly persuade it to work with a range of terrorist and militant groups to advance her policies in the region. So it is a challenging task for the Trump and his cabinet team that how they will contain Iran. Only better and clear US policy can reduce the possibility of Iran's malign activities because without commitment and sincerity, the Trump's policies to contain Iran will lead only to a complicated and uncertain strategic environment which may instead weaken US power structure in the Middle East not Iran.

## References

1. Beauchamp, Z. (2017). Trump's "new strategy" on Iran is neither new nor a strategy. USA. Vox.
2. Bennett, B. and Bierman, N. (2017). Trump administration says Iran is in compliance with the nuclear deal. USA. Los Angeles Time.
3. Cassidy, J. (2017). Tiller son: Saudi Arms Deal meant to counter Iranian influence. USA. VOA News.
4. Eytan, G. (2016). Reassessing American Interests in the Middle East. Israel. BESA Center Perspectives
5. Fishel, J. (2016). Could Donald Trump Really Dismantle the Nuclear Deal. USA. ABC New.
6. Fattahi, K. (2017). The rising risk of showdown between Trump and Iran. Iran. BBC Persian Service.
7. Gehrke, J. (2017). US slaps new sanctions on Iranian groups and individuals. USA. Los Angeles Times.
8. Guzansky, Y. and Michael, K. (2017). Trump Presidency and the Pragmatic Sunni Regimes. Israel. The Institute for National Security Studies
9. Kam, E. (2017). A Possible Trump Administration's Iran Policy: Constraints and Options". Iran Matters. USA: Belfer Center.
10. Kam, E. (2017). A Possible Trump Administration's Iran Policy: Constraints and Options". Iran Matters. USA: Belfer Center
11. Katzman, K. (2018). Iran Sanctions. Iran Watch. Congressional Research Service.
12. Katzman, K. (2018). Iran's Foreign and Defense Policies. Iran Watch. Congressional Research Service.

13. Lynch, M.(2013). The persistence of Arab anti-Americanism: In the Middle East, haters gonna hate. USA. Foreign Affairs.
14. Lynch, M. (2017). Belligerent Minimalism: The Trump administration and the Middle East. USA. The Washington Quarterly.
15. Maria, F.C. (2017). The Trump Administration Policies towards Iran. New York. The Market for Ideas Journal.
16. Meijer, H. (2017). Strategic Implications of Donald Trump's Election.USA. IRSEM.
17. Noriega, D. (2016). Here's how Trump could take down the Muslim civil rights groups. New York. BuzzFeed New.
18. Ward, A.(2017). Trump called Qatar a terror sponsor. He sold them fighter jets five days later. USA. VOX.Zenlick, K. and Cripps, S.B (2016). Trump and the Implications for US Sanctions on Iran. USA. New York Law Journal.
19. Wilkinson, T. ( 2016). Trump’s Plans to Scuttle or Amend the Iran Nuclear Deal Remain a Work in Progress. USA. Los Angeles Times..
20. Watkins, T.(2017) . AFP, US-Saudi arms mega deal looks to counter Iran. Washington DC. Al-Monitor: The Pulse of the Middle East.